



Policy Document on Research Paper - Presentation, Its Ethics and Plagiarism at Higher Quality Accredited Institutions

Christo Ananth¹

College of Engineering, AMA International University, Bahrain¹

Abstract- This Policy Document maintains the improvement of the exploration vocation of the scientists by giving examination awards to lead research ventures, giving vacation leave to direct looks into and sending analysts to visit or to present research papers in gatherings, trainings, workshops and courses in the assistance of their exploration information and aptitudes. This arrangement archive is material to all full time resources and understudies of the University. The Policy Document underpins the nonstop expert training in the field of research to further reinforce the exploration command of the foundation. This Policy Document maintains the advancement of the exploration morals of the specialists, employees and staff including understudies by following the moral method for utilizing the examination of different scientists. This will be guaranteed by utilizing hostile to unoriginality checking. This strategy report is relevant to all scientists, employees, staff and understudies of the University. This strategy record is pertinent to all analysts, employees, staff and understudies of the University.

Index Terms: Higher Quality Accredited Institutions, Research Paper Presentation, Its Ethics and Plagiarism

I. INTRODUCTION

Paper Presentation is a movement where a specialist will talk about the exploration he has directed with a major group of spectators like a meeting or other fora. Morals is critical to science and gives rules to the capable direct of well-characterized inquire about. Furthermore, look into morals teaches and screens researchers leading examination to guarantee a high moral standard. To enable specialists to address the issue of morals, University has created an approach and set of methods that apply to all exploration action being attempted by staff and understudies of the college. This strategy and the related strategies in this manner apply to all understudies who are looking into for the reasons for finishing a theory. In the event that a postgraduate understudy chooses to change his/her examination venture, it is his/her obligation to guarantee investigate morals of the new research. This will be evaluated as in the past. The understudy ought to talk about the issue with his/her administrator. The University utilizes an appropriate enemy of literary theft recognition framework to output work for proof of written falsification. This framework approaches numerous sources around the world (diaries, books, articles, magazines, sites and so forth.). Scholarly Integrity Policy States: "Literary theft is characterized as speaking to as one's own another's work or thoughts, or any part thereof, distributed or unpublished. It

incorporates replicating an expression, sentence, or section from another's work and not distinguishing or referring to that source; neglecting to refer to a source completely, deficient rewording or outlining; or endeavoring to go off as one's own a paper composed by another." - "Scholarly Integrity Policy". It is expressed that "literary theft is viewed as a grave infringement of scholarly honesty and the approvals against it are correspondingly serious. Written falsification can be described as "scholastic burglary." University will furnish understudies with a counselor and a manager, in view of the zone of research specialism the administrator has in connection to the exploration subject. An administrator is liable for transferring the theory, examine/last venture and practicum for antiplagiarism checking.

In the event that the literary theft report surpasses edge, managers ought to sit with their understudies to give direction on any amendments that might be required because of this procedure. Every school has an examination board of trustees made out of the exploration organizer and a few employees delegated by the Dean of the school and research facilitator. The examination organizer and research advisory group of every school are answerable for surveying research proposition, suggesting withdrawal the exploration ventures who don't follow look into strategy and running the written falsification report for inquires about. On the off chance that the written falsification report of the examination tasks surpass limit, the staff should survey his/her exploration with help of research council to do legitimate modifications that might be required because of this procedure. Research and Publication Office (RPO)and Overall Academic Dean Office: The RPO and Overall Academic Dean are liable for planning the specialized survey and observing the advancement of research ventures, looking into research plan of schools, encouraging inward and outside research reserves, keeping up database of research refreshed, and sorting out research exercises (courses, workshops and gatherings). They are liable for guaranteeing that the counter unoriginality strategy is applied.

II. PROCEDURES IN RESEARCH PAPER - PRESENTATION, ITS ETHICS AND PLAGIARISM

The University supports sending of staff and understudies to visit or to present research papers, explore extends in nearby and global gatherings, trainings and courses in the promotion of their exploration information and abilities and in the spread of aftereffects of inquires about. Endorsement of financing backing is by the University President with assessment by the Research and Publication Office (RPO). Support for endorsement by the University President is through its Head of Administration and Overall Academic Dean. The assessment of research papers for introduction and for subsidizing bolster will be finished utilizing the structure Assessment of Completed Researches for Presentation by two resources of the University who are specialists in the exploration territory of the paper. Authority reports ought to be put together by the personnel and prescribed endorsement by Dean, Head of RPO, Overall Academic Dean and Head of Administration. The employee must be full-time utilized by the University, and have a work contract. On the off chance that the moderator is the understudy, the understudy must be authoritatively taken on the University. Sending of personnel and understudies to visit or to present research papers in neighborhood and universal meetings, trainings and workshops is at first assessed and embraced by the school look into board to the RPO for qualification for financing utilizing the structure: Assessment of Completed Researches for Presentation and Attendance to Conference, Training and Seminars for paper introduction. The RPO embraces the records to the Overall Academic Dean, Head of Administration who will thusly prescribes to the college President for the last endorsement of the subsidizing support. Quality Records include: Research Article for Presentation, Memo Request and Acceptance Paper. These Documents are conveyed to Human Resources Department, Accounting Department, Auditing Office, Colleges, Research and Publication Office, Quality Assurance Office and Overall Academic Dean. The counter copyright infringement checking will need work to be submitted electronically (delicate duplicate) just as in paper structure (printed copy). So as to fortify the scholarly morals and the counter written falsification best practices at University, coming up next are actualized for staff and understudy. Every staff is liable for the transferring of his/her own exploration to the counter literary theft programming. Workforce needs to connect the consequence of check of copyright infringement during the accommodation of his/her exploration to school council. The Threshold for closeness is 20 %. Before an understudy or gathering of understudies is permitted to plan for definite oral introduction, he/she/they should present the last draft of his/her/their record to the consultant. The consultant transfers the records into the check for written falsification programming. For proposal, capstone, plan venture, the limit is 20 %. For practicum/mechanical connection, the edge is 30 % including book reference. Just an understudy or gathering of understudies who meets the endorsed limit is permitted to plan his/her/their oral introduction. The counter copyright infringement report as referenced in 1-5 ought to be a piece of the referred to reports as addendum. Any

infringement for research morals and written falsification strategy will expose a scientist to the college punishments. Quality Records include: Research Topic Proposal Form and Anti-written falsification report. Some other structures required for assessment the exploration ventures. These Documents are appropriated to Dean of Student Services, Human Resources Department, Colleges, Research and Publication Office, Quality Assurance and Accreditation Office and Overall Academic Dean.

III. CONCLUSION

This Policy Document maintains the improvement of the exploration vocation of the scientists by giving examination awards to lead research ventures, giving vacation leave to direct looks into and sending analysts to visit or to present research papers in gatherings, trainings, workshops and courses in the assistance of their exploration information and aptitudes. This arrangement archive is material to all full time resources and understudies of the University. The Policy Document underpins the nonstop expert training in the field of research to further reinforce the exploration command of the foundation. This Policy Document maintains the advancement of the exploration morals of the specialists, employees and staff including understudies by following the moral method for utilizing the examination of different scientists. This will be guaranteed by utilizing hostile to unoriginality checking. This strategy report is relevant to all scientists, employees, staff and understudies of the University. This strategy record is pertinent to all analysts, employees, staff and understudies of the University.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pike, G. R. (2011). Using college students' self-reported learning outcomes in scholarly research. In S. Herzog & N. A. Bowman (Eds.), *Validity and limitations of college student self-report data*. New Directions for Institutional Research, 150, 41–58.
- [2] Pike, G. R., & Killian, T. (2001). Reported gains in student learning: Do academic disciplines make a difference? *Research in Higher Education*, 42, 429–454.
- [3] Pike, G. R., & Kuh, G. D. (2005a). First- and second-generation college students: A comparison of their engagement and intellectual development. *Journal of Higher Education*, 76, 276–300.
- [4] Pike, G. R., & Kuh, G. D. (2005b). A typology of student engagement for American colleges and universities. *Research in Higher Education*, 46 (2), 185–209.
- [5] Pike, G. R., Kuh, G. D., & Gonyea, R. M. (2007). Evaluating the rationale for affirmative action in college admissions: Direct and indirect relationships between campus diversity and gains in understanding diverse groups. *Journal of College Student Development*, 48 (2), 1–17.
- [6] Porter, S. R. (2011). Do college student surveys have any validity? *The Review of Higher Education*, 35 (1), 45–76.
- [7] Reschly, A. L., & Christenson, S. L. (2012). Jingle, jangle, and conceptual haziness: Evolution and future directions of the engagement construct. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly, & C. Wylie (Eds.), *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 3–19). New York: Springer.
- [8] Saltmarsh, J., & Hartley, M. (Eds.). (2011). "To serve a larger purpose": Engagement for democracy and the transformation of higher education. Philadelphia, PN: Temple University Press.



- [9] Seifert, T. A., Goodman, K. M., Lindsay, N., Jorgensen, J. D., Wolniak, G. C., Pascarella, E. T., & Blaich, C. (2008). The effects of liberal arts experiences on liberal arts outcomes. *Research in Higher Education*, 49 (2), 107–125.
- [10] Smith, K. A., Sheppard, S. D., Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2005). Pedagogies of engagement: Classroom-based practices. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 94 (1), 87–102.