



## Analysis of ATC using generator participation factors in deregulated system

Ms.Pratiksha V. Junghare

M.E. Student, Department of Electrical & Electronics  
Engineering

Prof. Ram Meghe College of Engineering and Management  
Badnera,,Amravati, India  
Pratiksha.junghare@gmail.com

Prof.Amit Mohod

Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics  
Engineering

Prof.Ram Meghe College of Engineering and Management  
Badnera,Amravati,India  
Amitmohod1@gmail.com

**Abstract:** In deregulated market, the congestion management of the physical transmission network is the main problem. Analysis of ATC of the transmission network will give the strategy for congestion free network, this computation minimizes the risk in the network and sensitivity of the network will be maintained. The participation factor is nothing but a measure of how the real power output of the generator changes in response to load demand. In this paper, by taking different participation factor the of the network ATC is evaluated. This new approach for determination of generators participation could be more appropriate when compared with the proportional sharing principle of electricity tracing

**Keywords—** deregulation, network congestion, Available transfer capability (ATC), participation factors, proportional sharing principle

### I. INTRODUCTION

In a deregulated power system, unbundling of the generation, transmission and distribution systems has occurs various problems as related to the planning and operation of a transmission network. While providing the open access to the market participants, the generation companies can transmit the power as per the changes in load requirement. Since the generator cost functions are not same, the issues related to differential power tariffs may become more complex. Also due to free trading, some of the lines may get overloaded thereby causing network congestion. The congestion management model considering demand elasticity is proposed in [17].

A deregulated framework has been replacing the traditional vertically integrated structure of power system [3]. One of the objectives of deregulation is to create competitive markets to trade electricity. So as to supply the loads under optimal conditions, generating companies with cheaper power are preferred to transmit their power over the transmission network. In doing so, one or more components of transmission system may operate at or beyond their limits thereby causing network congestion and further threatening the security of the network. Congestion management is a major challenge in deregulated system. Before permitting the power transactions, feasibility of transmission network components is required to be determined. It can be detected by evaluation of Available Transfer Capability (ATC) of the network for various applied power transactions.

North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC), has defined ATC as the amount of the transfer capability

remaining in the physical transmission network for further commercial activity over and above already committed uses [12]. Since the system conditions continuously changes, ATC of network must be continuously updated. Available Transfer Capability is an important term in restructured power system that affects the planning and controlling of transmission infrastructure. Main constrains for ATC are thermal limits, voltage limits and steady state stability limits

Various mathematical models have been developed by the researchers to determine the ATC of the transmission system. Continuation Power flow (CPFLOW) [6] is a tool available for the determination of TTC (or ATC). It utilizes a continuation power flow algorithm for the calculation of maximum load ability of electric power system. Accuracy of results is obtained with negligible computational time. Power transfer distribution factor (PTDF) method is used by many utilities for determination of ATC. The methods of Power Transfer Distribution Factor (PTDF) using DC power flow and AC power flow are derived to calculate ATC. In DCPTDF method [6], DC load flow i.e. a linear model, is considered. This method is fast but does not provide the accuracy. In [14], ACPTDF method is proposed for determination of ATC of a practical system case. It considers the determination of power transfer distribution factors, computed at a base case load flow using sensitivity properties of NRJF Jacobian. Continuous Newton's Method for solving the power flow problem is proposed in [8].

It is proposed that depending upon the transmission network parameters, generator responses to the variation in loads differ from each other. To know these responses is important from various aspects such as for determination of nodal prices and also helpful in determination of system parameters required to be controlled for transmission capability improvement, in case of less available transfer capability. When the load at a bus is subjected to change, participation factor of generator can decide the ATC of the network [1]. Sensitivity analysis and power transfer distribution factor are used for the determination of ATC for individual transaction. The participation factor is a measure of how the real power output of the generator changes in response to demand when the generator is available for AGC and the area is on participation factor control.

In this paper, the method of ATC determination from the aspect of changes in load is proposed. Generator participation factors are used to split the total change in load into various bilateral transactions. By choosing different generator participation factors, ATC and maximum load


applied to a bus without causing insecurity to the network has been found to be changed. The variable load is considered as data to calculate ATC of network, considering various sets of generator participation factors.

## II AVAILABLE TRANSFER CAPABILITY (ATC)

**Definition-** According to NERC Report Available Transfer capability (ATC) is a measure of transfer capability remaining in the physical transmission network for further commercial activity over and above already committed uses “The term capability here refers to the ability of lines(s) to reliably transfer power from one bus/area to another It is different from transfer capacity in the sense that capacity implies rating of specified line(s) and that accounts for the thermal limits only. Capability on the other hand is the function of that line with other elements in the transmission network. Mathematically, ATC is defined as,

$$ATC = TTC - TRM - \{ETC + CBM\} \quad (1)$$

Where TTC- Total Transfer Capability  
 TRM-Transmission Reliability Margin  
 ETC-Existing Transmission Commitments  
 CBM-Capacity Benefit Margin



**Figure 1: Graphical Representation of ATC and other associated terms**

In eq (1), other terms except TTC are normally decided by the load serving entities. If TRM and CBM are not considered, TTC represents ATC at the base load flow conditions. The term TTC is variable and changes according to the change in line flows, line limits and the transacting power between the buses. The line limit and transacting power are the known quantities. Hence major part of TTC (or ATC) determination is to compute the change in line flows for a specific transaction. These changes can be determined by taking the difference of line flows obtained before and after applying the transactions. Graphically these values are represented in Figure. **Power Transfer Distribution Factor (PTDF)**

Within ATC computation, a source and a sink are specified for each transaction. Active power will then flow from source to sink in a direction. For each direction, the ATC value is the maximum megawatt source injection that can be transferred to

the sink without violating any of the operating limits such as Line thermal limits, voltage limits and system stability limits. In order to investigate how far the system is from an insecure condition, and how a transaction of active power can affect the loading of the transmission system, it is necessary to analyze the sensitivities of line flows with respect to bus injections. These sensitivities are termed as Power Transfer Distribution Factors. These values provide a linearized approximation of how the flow on the transmission lines and interfaces change in response to transaction between the seller and buyer. For multi-area ATC, the transaction will be between two areas. The PTDFs are operating point dependent

## III PARTICIPATION FACTOR

The participation factor is used to determine how the real power output of the generator changes in response to demand when the generator is available for AGC and the area is on participation factor control participation factor of a generator is the ratio of change in generator power of that generator to the change in load. It is given as,

$$x_i = \frac{\Delta T_{ik}}{\Delta P_k} \quad (2)$$

Where  $x_i$  is Generator participation factor,

$\Delta T_{ik}$  is change in generator power at  $i^{th}$  bus due to change in load at  $k^{th}$  bus,

$\Delta P_k$  Change in load at  $k^{th}$  bus.

For the purpose of calculating ATC, buyer and seller transactions are to be specified. This can be slack, a single bus, injection groups, areas etc. When multiple generators exist in the transaction, such as the case of areas or injection groups, participation factors needed to be assigned. so as to know the participation of generators or loads, the load flow solution must be known

## IV SIGNIFICANCE OF ATC

The information of ATC, as an important indicator of the system performance, is useful in restructured energy market in the following ways:

1. It provides the knowledge of power system capability about the present system condition.
2. Running the system under the ATC limits also ensures system security and reliability to some extent, since the calculation of ATC is based on the security constraints with the consideration of critical contingencies that can lead the system normal state to alert state.
3. The ATC is required in making decisions for the transactions between the market participants after checking the power contracts between themselves.
4. The ATC is also useful in enhancing system capability. With the knowledge of the limiting condition for the ATC, the system operator can take some operating or planning decision to avoid this limiting condition and thus enhance the system capability.
5. The ATC value can also serve as an indicator of power congestion through transmission lines.

6. The ATC is useful in transmission costing function. The ISO can put more transmission cost for the transaction through transmission path having low value of ATC. This extra transmission cost can be used in increasing the transmission capabilities for the transmission path.

### V COMPUTATION OF ATC

Congestion Management is the most important issue to be tackled in deregulated power system. To have congestion free transmission system knowledge of Available Transfer Capability (ATC) of the network is very important. Available transfer capability is the measure of remaining transmission capability of the network. The usual method of calculating ATC is by Network Response Method. In which bilateral transaction is only considered and then, whether the transaction is feasible for the network or not is concluded.

For calculating ATC, Changes in line flow are obtained, considering all the transactions individually. After that PTDF and ATC of all the transactions are obtained separately using Network Response Method. PTDF is the coefficient of linear relationship between the amount of a transaction and the flow on a line. The change in line flow associated with a new transaction is then,

$$\Delta P_{ij}^{New} = PTDF_{ij,mn} P_{mn}^{New} \quad (3)$$

Where i and j are buses at the ends of the line being monitored, m and n are "from" and "to" zone numbers for the proposed new transaction,

$P_{mn}^{New}$  is new transaction in MW amount.

$$P_{mn,ij}^{Max} \leq \frac{P_{ij}^{Max} - P_{ij}^0}{PTDF_{ij,mn}} \quad (4)$$

$P_{mn,ij}^{Max}$  is the maximum allowable transaction amount from zone m to zone n.

ATC of the network is constrained by the minimum of the allowable transaction over all lines.

$$ATC_{mn} = \min_{ij} P_{mn,ij}^{Max} \quad (5)$$

After ATC computation, network condition for congestion can be known To remove congestion in case of known ATC, a proper strategy for its improvement must be applied. Here ATC computation by changing the **participation factor is carried out.**

If  $ATC_i$  represents the ATC for this transaction, then ATC of the network for change in load at bus "k" will be

$$ATC_k = \min \left( \frac{ATC_i}{x_i} \right) \quad (6)$$

Here  $ATC_k$  represents the capability of the network to apply the load at bus "k". In this paper ATC computation by varying the load is made .

#### Algorithm

1. GPF are set
2. Compute initial system conditions base case such as, bus voltage, bus angle ,power flows and current flow are computed

3. A variation in active power at a load bus is applied and power flow analysis using Power World Simulator 14 is computed.
4. The line PTDF and ATC for each transaction has been calculated.
5. Individual TC has been calculated for each transaction after that ATC of the network is calculated.
6. The next transaction is applied and the steps 3, 4 and 5 are repeated.

The PWS 14 Simulation software is designed for ATC computation. The consideration of bus load changes GPF and ATC is set and computed linearly.

#### Case study

Effect of change of Generator Participation Factor on ATC of network by varying the load has been carried out on a 11 Bus System.(Fig 2)




Figure 2 . 6-bus system.

In this eleven bus system bus 1 is slack bus, bus 2 and 3 are generator buses whereas bus 4, 5 and 6 are load buses. It is assumed that the load sharing by generator buses are  $x_i$  times the change in load. For example, if 0.2 and 0.3 are the participation factors of generator 10 and 11 respectively, for Change in load of 10 MW at bus 6, generator 2 and 3 will contribute 2 and 3 MW respectively. Generator 1 being a slack bus generator will contribute the remaining power of 5 MW and the change in losses. The bilateral transaction to meet the change in load will be,

- 1 – 6 = 5 MW
- 2 – 6 = 2 MW
- 3 – 6 = 3 MW

Outcomes of change of GPF on ATC of transmission network has been evaluated on 6 bus system as shown in fig-2

For this ATC determination, the system is designed in Power World Simulator 14 .The Participation Factors of Generators are set and ATC is computed by considering changes in load at bus 6




Figure 3 Six bus power system

Table-1: Available transfer capability, considering

Computing time in Hr	Applied change in load at bus 6	Generator and load bus pair	Transacting power MW	New ATC for individual transaction	New ATC for simultaneous power transaction in MW
1	5	1---6	2.5	84	79.6703
		2---6	1	33.61	
		3---6	1.5	23.901	
2	10	1---6	5	27.9005	55.8011
		2---6	2	11.1602	
		3---6	3	27.9005	
3	10	1---6	5	23.3373	46.6747
		2---6	2	9.3349	
		3---6	3	14.0024	
4	10	1---6	5	6.34	12.6971
		2---6	2	2.53	
		3---6	3	3.8	
5	5	1---6	6	6.1895	12.379
		2---6	2.4	2.4758	
		3---6	3.6	3.7137	
6	5	1---6	1	3.16538	6.3076
		2---6	0.4	1.2615	
		3---6	0.6	1.8923	
7	-5	1---6	-2.5	8.7484	17.4969
		2---6	-1	3.4993	
		3---6	-1.5	5.249	
8	-5	1---6	2.5	9.562	19.63
		2---6	1	5.369	
		3---6	1.5	8.258	

**Observations:** It is observed that when load at bus-6 is increased at 2nd computing hour ATC of network is decreased. From 3rd computing hour as the load is increased, the ATC of network decreases and again increases from 7th computing hour when load at bus 6 is decreased. It is observed that ATC

of network changes when the generator participation factor is changed. Figure.4 shows the graphical representation the variation of load and network ATC for a set of generator participation factor when same load cycle at bus-6 is considered. ATC Values are obtained by power word simulator (PWS)




Figure 4 ATC & Load Variation

**Conclusions :** The ATC values are determined by NRM. It is observed from table I that as the load increases, transacting power through various branches of network changes leading to decrease ATC of the network. From fig 4, it can be observed that the point of mitigation of the load curve and the ATC curve shows the congestion point. The network will be free from congestion as long as ATC curve is above the load curve at that bus. Change in load is shared by different generators depending upon their participation factor The ATC value provides as an important indicator of system performance. It can be observed form Table 1 that as the load increases, ATC of the network decreases. The decrease in the load increases the network ATC, which was obtained in earlier hour. When load at a bus is to be shared by different generators, the ATC must be computed from the aspect of maximum allowable load at that bus. By selecting a set of participation factors of the generators, network ATC is computed in the previous hour. This value appear for the maximum load that can be connected to the load bus, in the next hour. From Fig 3 it is very much clear that ATC of network can be changed by changing the generator participation factor. In multiple transactions when simultaneous power flows from all generators to meet the load demand, generator with higher participation factor mainly decides the network ATC. Generator with larger participation factor can have a more positive effect on improving the system power transfer capability. The method is suitable for hour-ahead market.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I take this opportunity of thanking my guide Prof. Amit Mohod, Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Prof. Ram Meghe College of Engineering and Management, Badnera, Amravati, for his indispensable guidance, generous help, perpetual encouragement, constant attention offered throughout in preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ghawghawe N.D and Thakre, K.L 'ATC evaluation with consideration of load changes and participation factors- A sensitivity analysis approach' Power Engineering Conference, 2007. IPEC 2007.
- [2] Shahidehpour M and Almoush M "Restructured Electric Power System" Marcel- Decker Publishers pp.158-159.
- [3] Hugh Rudnick, "Planning in a deregulated environment in developing countries : Bolivia, Chile, Peru", IEEE Power Engineering Review 16(7) (1996) 18-19.
- [4] Sauer P.W, 'Technical Challenges of Computing Available Transfer Capability (ATC) in Electric Power Systems" Proceedings, 3<sup>rd</sup> Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences Jan 7-10, 1997 pp. 1-5
- [5] Prabha Kundur, Power System Stability and Control, EPRI, Power system Engineering Series, Tata McGraw-Hill, 3<sup>rd</sup> Reprint 2007
- [6] Overbye, et al "A Comparison of the AC and DC Power Flow Models for LMP Calculations" Proceedings of the 37th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences – 2004
- [7] Shiqiong Tong and Miu, K.N 'Participation Factor Studies for Distributed Slack Bus Models in Three- Phase Distribution Power Flow Analysis' Transmission and Distribution Conference and Exhibition, IEEE PES 2006
- [8] Federico Milano 'Continuous Newton's Method for Power Flow Analysis' IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 24, NO. 1, FEBRUARY 2009
- [9] Silva, et al 'Assessment of generator impact on system power transfer capability using modal participation factors' IEE Proc. on generation Transmission Distribution, Vol. 149, No.5 pp.564-570. Sept. 2002
- [10] Bialek J. 'Tracing the Flow of Electricity' IEE Proceedings of Generation Transmission and Distribution, Vol.143 No.4, pp.313-320. July 1996
- [11] Z Huang, et al 'Generator ranking using modal analysis' Generation, Transmission and Distribution, IEE Proceedings-Volume: 150 Issue: 6 2004
- [12] North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC), 'Available Transfer Capability Definitions and Determination', NERC Report, June 1996.
- [13] Hadi Saadat 'Power System Analysis' Tata McGraw-Hill Edition 2002
- [14] Srivastava, et al 'ATC determination in a competitive electricity market using AC distribution factors', Electric Power Components and Systems 32 (2004) 927-939 Vol.10 No.2, May 95.
- [15] Ghawghawe N D, Thakre K.L, 'Modified method of computing generator participation factors by Electricity tracing with consideration of load flow changes', ISSN1790-5060 Issue 10, volume 2, October 2007. 11
- [16] Venkatesh et al 'Available Transfer Capability Determination Using Power Distribution Factors' Journal of Emerging Electric Power Systems Vol.1(2) 2004, Article1009.
- [17] I Kopcak, et al 'Transmission systems congestion management by using modal participation factors' Power Tech Conference Proceedings, 2003 IEEE Bologna